CR Geometry and Analysis

Howard Jacobowitz

Rutgers University - Camden

$$B = \{(z, w) : |z|^2 + |w|^2 < 1\}$$
$$P = \{z, w) : |z < 1, \ |w| < 1\}$$

There does not exist a biholomorphism

$$F : B \to P$$

The identity component of the group of automorphism of P leaving the origin fixed is given by

$$(z, w) \to e^{i\theta_1} z, e^{i\theta_2} w.$$

and is commutative.

Two elements in the identity component of the group of automorphism of B leaving the origin fixed are

$$\phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\sigma} \\ -e^{-i\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $0 < \sigma < \pi$, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 do not commute.

Theorem (Poincare)

In $\mathbb{C}^2$, there are more real hypersurfaces than biholomorphic maps.

A real hypersurface is given locally by a graph

$$y_2 = f(x_1, x_2, y_1).$$

The jet of order N depends on $\binom{N+3}{3}$ real parameters.
For $F(z, w)$, the jet of order N depends on $2\binom{N+2}{2}$ real parameters.

$$\binom{N+3}{3} > 4\binom{N+2}{2}$$

there are more hypersurfaces than biholomorphisms.

The group of local biholomorphisms leaving the origin fixed does not act transitively on the 9-jets of hypersurfaces through the origin. There are invariants of at most order 9.

What are these invariants?

Élie Cartan

I resolved this question with an application of my general method of equivalence. The complete solution of Poincaré's problem led me to new geometric ideas.

For the real hypersurface

$$M = \{(z, w) : \phi(z, w) = 0\}$$

the complex vector field

$$L = \phi_{\bar{w}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - \phi_{\bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}$$

is tangent to M. For the hyperquadric

$$Q = \{(z, w) : \Im w = |z|^2\}$$

with $z = x + iy$ and $w = u + iv(x, y, u)$

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2i(x + iy) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

We will see this operator again.

In general, given a choice of L, choose a real-valued form ω and a complex-valued form ω_1

$$\omega(L) = 0, \quad \omega_1(L) = 0, \quad \omega \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega_1} \neq 0.$$

Normalize by $d\omega = i\omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega_1} \mod \omega$. Set

$$\Omega = |\lambda|^2 \omega \text{ and } \Omega_1 = \lambda(\omega_1 + \mu\bar{\omega_1})$$

These are well-defined forms on a bundle of fiber dimension 4 over M^3. Set

$$\Omega_2 = \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} + A\omega_1 + B\bar{\omega_1} + C\omega$$

and

$$\Omega_3 = \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}(d\mu + D\omega_1 + E\bar{\omega_1} + F\omega).$$

Choose the constants to obtain

$$d\Omega = i\Omega_1 \wedge \bar{\Omega}_1 + (\Omega_2 + \bar{\Omega}_2) \wedge \Omega$$

and

$$d\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 \wedge \Omega_1 + \Omega_3 \wedge \Omega.$$

Only

$$\rho = \Re C$$

remains undetermined. Set

$$\Omega_4 = \frac{1}{|\lambda|^2} \{d\rho + \ldots\}$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d\Omega &= i\Omega_1 \wedge \bar{\Omega}_1 + (\Omega_2 + \bar{\Omega}_2) \wedge \Omega \\
d\Omega_1 &= \Omega_2 \wedge \Omega_1 + \Omega_3 \wedge \Omega \\
d\Omega_2 &= 2i\Omega_1 \wedge \bar{\Omega}_3 + i\bar{\Omega}_1 \wedge \Omega_3 - \Omega \wedge \Omega_4 \\
d\Omega_3 &= -\Omega_1 \wedge \Omega_4 - \bar{\Omega}_2 \wedge \Omega_3 - R\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}_1 \\
d\Omega_4 &= i\Omega_3 \wedge \bar{\Omega}_3 - (\Omega_2 + \bar{\Omega}_2) \wedge \Omega_4 - S\Omega \wedge \Omega_1 - \bar{S}\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}_1
\end{aligned}$$

R and S are relative invariants. $R = 0$ on some open set implies that $S = 0$ on that open set and that there is a biholomorphism taking a possibly smaller open set of M^3 to the hyperquadric Q.

R is called the curvature of the CR structure. It is an invariant of order 6, not 9.
Now for some analysis.

Uniformly the experience of the investigated type has shown that - speaking of existence in the local sense - there always were solutions, indeed smooth solutions, provided the equations were smooth enough. It was therefore a matter of considerable surprise to this author, to discover that this inference is in general erroneous. More precisely, there exist linear partial differential equations with coefficients in $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ which possess not a single smooth solution in any neighborhood.

Hans Lewy, *An example of a smooth linear partial differential equation without solution*, Annals of Mathematics 66 (1957).

Let $\phi(y_1)$ be a real-valued C^1 function.

Theorem

If there exists a C^1 solution to

$$\big(-(\partial/\partial x_1) - i(\partial/\partial x_2) + 2i(x_1 + ix_2)(\partial/\partial y_1)\big) u = 2\phi(y_1)$$

in a neighborhood of a point $(0, 0, y^*)$, then ϕ is analytic in some neighborhood of that point.

Set

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x + i\partial_y)$$

and write

$$Lu = -u_{\bar{z}} + izu_{y_1}$$

Theorem

If $Lu = \phi(y_1)$ has a C^1 solution then ϕ is real analytic.

Let $w = y_1 + iy_2$. For $y_2 = |z|^2$, set

$$U(w, \bar{w}) = \int_{y_2 = constant} u \, dz.$$

This is natural if we consider $u(x_1, x_2, y_1)$ as a function on
$Q = \{w = y_1 + i|z|^2\}$. Note that $U(y_1, 0) = 0$.
Write $z = re^{i\theta}$. So, $y_2 = r^2$ and

$$U(w, \bar{w}) = \int_0^{2\pi} ire^{i\theta} u(r, \theta, y_1) d\theta.$$

Claim

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial \bar{w}} = \frac{1}{2} \int Lu \, d\theta.$$

Assume the Claim. Let

$$\psi'(y_1) = \phi(y_1),$$

So $Lu = \psi'(y_1) = 2\psi_{\bar{w}}$ is independent of θ and thus

$$U_{\bar{w}} = 2\pi \psi_{\bar{w}}.$$

$V = U - 2\pi\psi$ is a holomorphic function of w in some set

$$\{a < y_1 < b, 0 < y_2 < c\}$$

and real on the real axis. The Reflection Principle applies and V is holomorphic near the y_1 axis. Thus ψ and ϕ are also real analytic as functions of y_1. So $Lu = f$ is not always locally solvable.

The proof of the Claim is an integration by parts.

$$\int_0^{2\pi} u e^{i\theta} d\theta = i \int_0^{2\pi} u_\theta e^{i\theta} d\theta.$$

$$z = r e^{i\theta} \text{ and } 2\partial_{\bar{z}} = e^{i\theta}(\partial_r + \frac{1}{r}\partial_\theta)$$

$$y_2 = r^2 \text{ and } \partial_{y_2} = \frac{1}{2r}\partial_r$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{y_2} &= \frac{1}{2r}[\int u dz]_r \\
&= \frac{1}{2r}[ir \int u e^{i\theta} d\theta]_r \\
&= \frac{1}{2r}[i \int u e^{i\theta} d\theta + ir \int u_r e^{i\theta} d\theta] \\
&= \frac{1}{2r}[i \int i u_\theta e^{i\theta} d\theta + ir \int u_r e^{i\theta} d\theta] \\
&= i \int u_{\bar{z}} d\theta.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2U_{\bar{w}} &= U_{y_1} + iU_{y_2} \\
&= \int u_{y_1} dz + i\left(i \int u_{\bar{z}} d\theta\right) \\
&= \int u_{y_1} iz d\theta - \int u_{\bar{z}} d\theta \\
&= \int Lu\, d\theta.
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar result holds for any strictly pseudo-convex hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^2$:
The associated linear partial derivative operator is not always solvable.

Abstract CR manifolds

(H,J) is an __abstract CR structure on M^3__

- $H \subset TM$ is a two-plane distribution
- J is an anti-involution on H

$$J : H \to H, \quad J^2 = -I$$

For $M^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and $J_0 : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$

$$H_p = T_p(M) \cap J_0 T_p(M) = \text{the complex line tangent to } M \text{ at } p$$

and

$$J = J_0|_H.$$

A complex vector field L on M^3 is an __abstract CR structure__ if $\Re L$ and $\Im L$ are everywhere independent. Then

$$H = \{\Re L, \Im L\}.$$

and

$$JL = -iL.$$

Cartan's construction also applies to abstract CR manifolds.

Realization (embedding) problem

Is every abstract three-dimensional CR manifold locally realizable as a real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^2$?

Can every abstract three-dimensional CR manifold be locally embedded into $\mathbb{C}^2$?

Note that

$$\phi = z|_M$$

satisfies

$$L\phi = 0.$$

Does every homogeneous linear partial differential equation have a non-trivial solution?

Theorem (Nirenberg 1972)

There exists a smooth CR operator such that $Lh = 0$ in a neighborhood of some given point p implies that $dh(p) = 0$. In fact, h is a constant near p.

False Analogy

Nirenberg's example is a perturbation of the CR operator for the hyperquadric Q. So Nirenberg's example has curvature equal to zero at the origin.

1. A two -dimensional Riemannian manifold is locally embeddable into $\mathbb{R}^3$ near every point of non-zero curvature (Weingarten 1884).

2. There is an example of non-embeddability at a point of zero curvature (Pogorelov 1971).

3. M is locally embeddable near p if $K(p) = 0, \;\; \nabla K \neq O$ (Lin 1986).

Theorem (Jacobowitz and Treves, 1982, 1983)

Let L be the CR operator of any strictly pseudo-convex $M^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and let $p \in M^3$. There exists a complex vector field $\tilde{L}$ agreeing with L to infinite order at p such that $\tilde{L}h = 0$ in a neighborhood of p implies that $dh(p) = 0$. In fact, h is a constant near p.

Proof

For $M = \{\phi(z, w) = 0\}$, $L = \phi_{\bar{w}}\partial_{\bar{z}} - \phi_{\bar{z}}\partial_{\bar{w}}$.
A local biholomorphic change of coordinates yields $M = \{v = \rho(z, \bar{z}, u)\}$
with $\rho(0) = 0, d\rho(0) = 0$ and

$$L = (1 + i\rho_u)\partial_{\bar{z}} - i\rho_{\bar{z}}\partial_u$$

Set $\tilde{L} = L + f\partial_z + g\partial_u$. We want to choose f, vanishing to infinite order
at 0, such that $\tilde{L}h = 0$ implies $h_z(0) = 0$ and g such that $h_u(0) = 0$.
Again this reduces to an integration by parts.

Assume that for any neighborhood N of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ there exist open sets $U \subset \Omega \subset N$, $0 \in \Omega$ such that if $Lh = \phi$ in Ω and $supp(\phi) \subset U$, then

$$\iiint_U \phi \, dx dy du = 0.$$

Write $\tilde{L}h = 0$ as $Lh = -fh_z$ and take $g = 0$. If $supp(f) \subset U$ then

$$\iiint_U fh_z \, dx dy dz = 0$$

If also $f > 0$ in U then $\Re h_z$ and $\Im h_z$ have zeroes in U.
Next choose $N_j \to \{0\}$. Therefore Ω_j and $U_j \to \{0\}$ and so $h_z(0) = 0$.

$$M = \{v = |z|^2 + O(3; z, \bar{z}, u)\}.$$

$$\Gamma_\lambda = M \cap \{w = \lambda\}.$$

Compare to $w = y_1 + iy_2$, $y_1 = $ constant, $y_2 = |z|^2$. There exists a curve γ in the λ-plane such that

- Below γ, Γ_λ is empty.
- On γ, Γ_λ is a point .
- Above γ, Γ_λ is a simple closed curve .

Let $S = $ a torus foliated by curves Γ_λ and T the solid torus.

Lemma

If $Lh = 0$ in $\Omega - T$, then

$$\int_{\Gamma_\lambda} h\, dz = 0$$

for $\Gamma_\lambda \subset \Omega - T$.

Proof.

$Lh = 0$ implies

$$\int_{\Gamma_\lambda} h\, dz$$

is holomorphic in λ and vanishes on a curve. $\qquad\square$

We want to show that

$$\iiint_T Lh\, dx\, dy\, du = 0$$

if $supp(Lh) \subset T$.

Since $Lz = 0$ and $Lw = 0$, it follows that

$$d(hdzdw) = -Ludzd\bar{z}du.$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\iiint_T (Lh)dzd\bar{z}du &= \iiint_T d(hdzdw) \\
&= \iint_S hdzdw \\
&= \int\int_{\Gamma_\lambda} hdzd\lambda \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $Supp(f) \subset T_j$ and $f > 0$ in each T_j, then from

$$(L + f\partial_z)h = 0$$

in a neighborhood of the origin we have that $h_z(0) = 0$. Using more open sets, an appropriate g, and a Baire category argument, we have

$$(L + f\partial_z + g\partial_u)h = 0$$

in a neighborhood of the origin implies h is a constant.

A CR embedding $f_0 : (M^3, V_0) \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is stable if

$$||V_1 - V_0|| \text{ small} \Rightarrow \exists f_1 : (M^3, V_1) \to \mathbb{C}^N \text{ with } ||f_1 - f_0|| \text{ small.}$$

No CR embedding is stable.

> ### Theorem (Lempert 1994)
>
> *Let M^3 be compact and (M^3, V_0) be strictly pseudo-convex. Let $f_0 : (M^3, V_0) \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a CR embedding. If (M^3, V_1) has a CR embedding into some $\mathbb{C}^N$ then it has an embedding into $\mathbb{C}^2$ close to f_0.*

Theorem (Caitlin, Lempert, 1992)

There exists a strictly pseudo-convex compact CR manifold in $\mathbb{C}^3$ that is not stable.

Why this difference between $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^3$?
(M^3, V_1) has a CR embedding into some $\mathbb{C}^N$ is equivalent to $\bar{\partial}_b$ has closed range on functions. What other condition is necessary to distinguish between $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^3$?

Conjectures (Siqi Fu, HJ, Weixia Zhu)

- Let (M^3, V_t) be a smooth family of compact pseudoconvex CR manifolds. The existence of a uniform sub elliptic estimate

$$||u||_{H^{s+1/m}} \leq C_s ||\bar{\partial}_b^t u||_{H^s}, \quad u \perp \text{ ker } (\bar{\partial}_b^t)$$

and the closed range of $\bar{\partial}_b^t$ imply stability of the family.

- Let (M^3, V_t) be a smooth family of compact pseudoconvex CR manifolds. If the Kohn Laplacian $\Box_b^t$ acting on functions has a uniform spectral gap, then the family is stable.

- Lempert's result holds for strictly pseudo-convex replaced by pseudoconvex of finite type.

THANK YOU