

Left Invariant CR Structures on S^3

Howard Jacobowitz
Rutgers University Camden
October 22, 2015

Outline

- CR structures on M^3

Outline

- CR structures on M^3
- Pseudo-hermitian structures on M^3
 - Curvature and torsion

Outline

- CR structures on M^3
- Pseudo-hermitian structures on M^3
 - Curvature and torsion
- $S^3 = SU(2)$

Outline

- CR structures on M^3
- Pseudo-hermitian structures on M^3
 - Curvature and torsion
- $S^3 = SU(2)$
- Left-invariant CR and pseudo-hermitian structures on S^3
 - Classification results

Outline

- CR structures on M^3
- Pseudo-hermitian structures on M^3
 - Curvature and torsion
- $S^3 = SU(2)$
- Left-invariant CR and pseudo-hermitian structures on S^3
 - Classification results
- Conjugate CR structures

Motivation

There are many results about pseudo-hermitian structures that are torsion free:

Motivation

There are many results about pseudo-hermitian structures that are torsion free:

1. Isoperimetric inequalities (e.g., Chanillo and Yang, 2009)

Motivation

There are many results about pseudo-hermitian structures that are torsion free:

1. Isoperimetric inequalities (e.g., Chanillo and Yang, 2009)
2. Sasakian geometry and physics

Motivation

There are many results about pseudo-hermitian structures that are torsion free:

1. Isoperimetric inequalities (e.g., Chanillo and Yang, 2009)
2. Sasakian geometry and physics
3. Jingzhi Tie lecture (higher dimension and non-compact)

Motivation

There are many results about pseudo-hermitian structures that are torsion free:

1. Isoperimetric inequalities (e.g., Chanillo and Yang, 2009)
2. Sasakian geometry and physics
3. Jingzhi Tie lecture (higher dimension and non-compact)

Wanted simple examples of pseudo-hermitian structures with torsion.

CR Structures

A **CR structure** on M^3 is a two-plane distribution $H \subset TM$ and a complex structure on each fiber.

CR Structures

A **CR structure** on M^3 is a two-plane distribution $H \subset TM$ and a complex structure on each fiber.

$$J: H \rightarrow H \text{ with } J^2 = -I.$$

We denote this structure by (M, H, J) .

CR Structures

A **CR structure** on M^3 is a two-plane distribution $H \subset TM$ and a complex structure on each fiber.

$$J: H \rightarrow H \text{ with } J^2 = -I.$$

We denote this structure by (M, H, J) .

The conjugate CR structure is given by $(M, H, -J)$

CR Structures

A **CR structure** on M^3 is a two-plane distribution $H \subset TM$ and a complex structure on each fiber.

$$J: H \rightarrow H \text{ with } J^2 = -I.$$

We denote this structure by (M, H, J) .

The conjugate CR structure is given by $(M, H, -J)$

It is often useful to extend J by complex linearity to a map

$$J: \mathbf{C} \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \otimes H.$$

CR Structures

A **CR structure** on M^3 is a two-plane distribution $H \subset TM$ and a complex structure on each fiber.

$$J: H \rightarrow H \text{ with } J^2 = -I.$$

We denote this structure by (M, H, J) .

The conjugate CR structure is given by $(M, H, -J)$

It is often useful to extend J by complex linearity to a map

$$J: \mathbf{C} \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \otimes H.$$

Then J is completely determined by the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue i (or to the eigenvalue $-i$).

CR Structures

A **CR structure** on M^3 is a two-plane distribution $H \subset TM$ and a complex structure on each fiber.

$$J: H \rightarrow H \text{ with } J^2 = -I.$$

We denote this structure by (M, H, J) .

The conjugate CR structure is given by $(M, H, -J)$

It is often useful to extend J by complex linearity to a map

$$J: \mathbf{C} \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \otimes H.$$

Then J is completely determined by the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue i (or to the eigenvalue $-i$). So a CR structure is just as well given by a complex line bundle $B \subset \mathbf{C} \otimes H$,

$$B \cap \overline{B} = \{0\}.$$

It will be useful to work with the dual formulation.

It will be useful to work with the dual formulation. Let $\theta^\perp = H$.

It will be useful to work with the dual formulation. Let $\theta^\perp = H$.
Assume

$$\theta \wedge d\theta \neq 0.$$

It will be useful to work with the dual formulation. Let $\theta^\perp = H$.
Assume

$$\theta \wedge d\theta \neq 0.$$

Strict pseudoconvexity

It will be useful to work with the dual formulation. Let $\theta^\perp = H$.
Assume

$$\theta \wedge d\theta \neq 0.$$

Strict pseudoconvexity

There exists some θ^1 such that

- ① $d\theta = i\theta^1 \wedge \bar{\theta}^1$ (or $d(-\theta) = i\theta^1 \wedge \bar{\theta}^1$)
- ② $X \in H \implies \theta^1(X + iJX) = 0$. (Equivalently, $J\theta^1 = i\theta^1$)

(θ, θ^1) is called a CR coframe.

It will be useful to work with the dual formulation. Let $\theta^\perp = H$.
 Assume

$$\theta \wedge d\theta \neq 0.$$

Strict pseudoconvexity

There exists some θ^1 such that

- ① $d\theta = i\theta^1 \wedge \bar{\theta}^1$ (or $d(-\theta) = i\theta^1 \wedge \bar{\theta}^1$)
- ② $X \in H \implies \theta^1(X + iJX) = 0$. (Equivalently, $J\theta^1 = i\theta^1$)

(θ, θ^1) is called a CR coframe. Note that $(\theta, \bar{\theta}^1)$ is a CR coframe for the conjugate structure.

The forms θ and θ^1 are not unique.

The forms θ and θ^1 are not unique. For example

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\theta} &= r\theta \\ \tilde{\theta}^1 &= \alpha\theta^1\end{aligned}$$

with constants r real and α complex, $|\alpha|^2 = r > 0$.

The forms θ and θ^1 are not unique. For example

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\theta} &= r\theta \\ \tilde{\theta}^1 &= \alpha\theta^1\end{aligned}$$

with constants r real and α complex, $|\alpha|^2 = r > 0$.

A **pseudo-hermitian structure** is a CR coframe (θ, θ^1) with θ fixed.

The forms θ and θ^1 are not unique. For example

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\theta} &= r\theta \\ \tilde{\theta}^1 &= \alpha\theta^1\end{aligned}$$

with constants r real and α complex, $|\alpha|^2 = r > 0$.

A **pseudo-hermitian structure** is a CR coframe (θ, θ^1) with θ fixed. So the only other choices are

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\theta} &= \theta \\ \tilde{\theta}^1 &= \lambda\theta^1\end{aligned}$$

with $|\lambda| = 1$.

The forms θ and θ^1 are not unique. For example

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\theta} &= r\theta \\ \tilde{\theta}^1 &= \alpha\theta^1\end{aligned}$$

with constants r real and α complex, $|\alpha|^2 = r > 0$.

A **pseudo-hermitian structure** is a CR coframe (θ, θ^1) with θ fixed. So the only other choices are

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\theta} &= \theta \\ \tilde{\theta}^1 &= \lambda\theta^1\end{aligned}$$

with $|\lambda| = 1$. Note that always $d\theta = \theta^1 \wedge \overline{\theta^1}$.

The Standard Structures

The **standard CR structure** on the three sphere S^3 is the one it inherits as a submanifold of \mathbf{C}^2 .

$$H = TS^3 \cap JTS^3.$$

The Standard Structures

The **standard CR structure** on the three sphere S^3 is the one it inherits as a submanifold of \mathbf{C}^2 .

$$H = TS^3 \cap JTS^3.$$

H is called the **standard contact distribution**.
Choosing $\theta_0 = -i(\bar{z}dz + \bar{w}dw)$ give the **standard pseudo-hermitian structure** .

The natural choice for a coframe for these structures is

$$\{\theta_0, \theta_0^1\}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_0 &= -i(\bar{z}dz + \bar{w}dw) \\ \theta_0^1 &= wdz - zdw\end{aligned}$$

where these forms are restricted to S^3 .

The natural choice for a coframe for these structures is

$$\{\theta_0, \theta_0^1\}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_0 &= -i(\bar{z}dz + \bar{w}dw) \\ \theta_0^1 &= wdz - zdw\end{aligned}$$

where these forms are restricted to S^3 .

Note for later that

$$\begin{aligned}d\theta_0 &= i\theta_0^1 \wedge \overline{\theta_0^1} \\ d\theta_0^1 &= \theta_0^1 \wedge \omega \\ \omega &= -2i\theta_0.\end{aligned}$$

Given two CR structures (M, H, J) and $(M, \tilde{H}, \tilde{J})$ a diffeomorphism $F : M \rightarrow M$ is a **CR diffeomorphism** if it preserves the two-plane distribution and the J -operator. That is

$$F_* \circ J = \tilde{J} \circ F_*.$$

Given two CR structures (M, H, J) and $(M, \tilde{H}, \tilde{J})$ a diffeomorphism $F : M \rightarrow M$ is a **CR diffeomorphism** if it preserves the two-plane distribution and the J -operator. That is

$$F_* \circ J = \tilde{J} \circ F_*.$$

In terms of choices of coframes we are requiring

$$F^* \tilde{\theta} = s\theta$$

$$F^* \tilde{\theta}^1 = \gamma\theta^1 + \delta\theta$$

with s real, γ and δ complex $s \neq 0$, and $\gamma \neq 0$.

Given two pseudo-hermitian structures, say $\{\theta, \theta^1\}$ and $\{\theta, \tilde{\theta}^1\}$ and a diffeomorphism $F : M^3 \rightarrow M^3$, we say that the two pseudo-hermitian structures are equivalent, and that F is a **pseudo-hermitian diffeomorphism** if

Given two pseudo-hermitian structures, say $\{\theta, \theta^1\}$ and $\{\theta, \tilde{\theta}^1\}$ and a diffeomorphism $F : M^3 \rightarrow M^3$, we say that the two pseudo-hermitian structures are equivalent, and that F is a **pseudo-hermitian diffeomorphism** if

$$F^*(\theta) = \theta$$

and

$$F^*(\tilde{\theta}^1) = \gamma\theta^1 + \delta\theta.$$

The Webster Connection

Theorem

Let (θ, θ^1) be a pseudo-hermitian coframe. There exist unique functions R, A , and V , and an unique one-form ω , so that

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta &= i\theta^1 \wedge \bar{\theta}^1 \\ d\theta^1 &= \theta^1 \wedge \omega + A\theta \wedge \bar{\theta}^1 \\ \omega &= -\bar{\omega} \\ d\omega &= R\theta^1 \wedge \bar{\theta}^1 + 2i\mathfrak{I}(V\bar{\theta}^1) \wedge \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Further, if θ^1 is replaced by $\boldsymbol{\theta}^1 = \lambda\theta^1$, $|\lambda| = 1$, then

$$\mathbf{R} = R, \quad \mathbf{A} = \lambda^2 A, \quad \mathbf{V} = \lambda V, \quad \boldsymbol{\omega} = \omega - \lambda^{-1} d\lambda.$$

So the curvature R is a pseudo-hermitian invariant and the torsion A is a relative invariant.

The group structure

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\bar{\beta} \\ \beta & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

The group structure

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\bar{\beta} \\ \beta & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

$$SU(2) \leftrightarrow S^3$$

The group structure

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\bar{\beta} \\ \beta & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

$$SU(2) \leftrightarrow S^3$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\bar{\beta} \\ \beta & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

Let $\alpha = a + ib$ and $\beta = c + id$.

Let $\alpha = a + ib$ and $\beta = c + id$.
Now $SU(2)$ acts on R^4 .

Let $\alpha = a + ib$ and $\beta = c + id$.

Now $SU(2)$ acts on R^4 .

Starting with the vectors

$$(0, 1, 0, 0), \quad (0, 0, 1, 0), \quad \text{and} \quad (0, 0, 0, 1)$$

tangent to S^3 at $(1, 0, 0, 0)$, we translate them using $SU(2)$ to obtain the vector fields at the point (a, b, c, d)

Let $\alpha = a + ib$ and $\beta = c + id$.

Now $SU(2)$ acts on R^4 .

Starting with the vectors

$$(0, 1, 0, 0), \quad (0, 0, 1, 0), \quad \text{and} \quad (0, 0, 0, 1)$$

tangent to S^3 at $(1, 0, 0, 0)$, we translate them using $SU(2)$ to obtain the vector fields at the point (a, b, c, d)

$$L_1 = (-b, a, -d, c)$$

$$L_2 = (-c, d, a, -b)$$

$$L_3 = (-d, -c, b, a).$$

Contact structures

Contact structures

Each of the three 2-planes spanned by $\{L_j, L_k\}$ is contact and

$$H = \{L_2, L_3\}$$

is the standard contact structure. Thus the standard contact structure is left-invariant.

Contact structures

Each of the three 2-planes spanned by $\{L_j, L_k\}$ is contact and

$$H = \{L_2, L_3\}$$

is the standard contact structure. Thus the standard contact structure is left-invariant.

For any other left-invariant distribution we can choose a basis

$$U = L_1 + uL_3$$

$$V = L_2 + vL_3$$

with real constants u and v .

Lemma

Each left-invariant 2-plane distribution on S^3 is a contact structure.

Lemma

Each left-invariant 2-plane distribution on S^3 is a contact structure.

Proof

We have

$$\frac{1}{2}[U, V] = uL_1 + vL_2 - L_3.$$

Lemma

Each left-invariant 2-plane distribution on S^3 is a contact structure.

Proof

We have

$$\frac{1}{2}[U, V] = uL_1 + vL_2 - L_3.$$

Assume

$$[U, V] = xU + yV$$

Lemma

Each left-invariant 2-plane distribution on S^3 is a contact structure.

Proof

We have

$$\frac{1}{2}[U, V] = uL_1 + vL_2 - L_3.$$

Assume

$$[U, V] = xU + yV$$

Then

$$x = 2u, \quad y = 2v, \quad \text{and} \quad xu + yv = -2$$

gives the contradiction

Lemma

Each left-invariant 2-plane distribution on S^3 is a contact structure.

Proof

We have

$$\frac{1}{2}[U, V] = uL_1 + vL_2 - L_3.$$

Assume

$$[U, V] = xU + yV$$

Then

$$x = 2u, \quad y = 2v, \quad \text{and} \quad xu + yv = -2$$

gives the contradiction

$$2u^2 + 2v^2 = -2.$$

Lemma

If \mathcal{D} is a left-invariant 2-plane distribution on S^3 then there is some $\Phi : S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ such that the induced map

$$\Phi_* TS^3 \rightarrow TS^3$$

takes \mathcal{D} to the standard contact distribution.

Lemma

If \mathcal{D} is a left-invariant 2-plane distribution on S^3 then there is some $\Phi : S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ such that the induced map

$$\Phi_* TS^3 \rightarrow TS^3$$

takes \mathcal{D} to the standard contact distribution.

Restrict CR and pseudo-hermitian structures to have the standard distribution.

Left-Invariant Structures

(Almost) any complex structure on H at a given point is given by

Left-Invariant Structures

(Almost) any complex structure on H at a given point is given by

$$\theta^1 = \theta_0^1 + \mu \overline{\theta_0^1}, \quad \mu \neq \pm 1.$$

Left-Invariant Structures

(Almost) any complex structure on H at a given point is given by

$$\theta^1 = \theta_0^1 + \mu \overline{\theta_0^1}, \quad \mu \neq \pm 1.$$

As μ varies we obtain all the CR structures with the given contact distribution, except for the conjugate of the standard CR structure which appears as the limit as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$.

Left-Invariant Structures

(Almost) any complex structure on H at a given point is given by

$$\theta^1 = \theta_0^1 + \mu \overline{\theta_0^1}, \quad \mu \neq \pm 1.$$

As μ varies we obtain all the CR structures with the given contact distribution, except for the conjugate of the standard CR structure which appears as the limit as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$. More generally,

Left-Invariant Structures

(Almost) any complex structure on H at a given point is given by

$$\theta^1 = \theta_0^1 + \mu \overline{\theta_0^1}, \quad \mu \neq \pm 1.$$

As μ varies we obtain all the CR structures with the given contact distribution, except for the conjugate of the standard CR structure which appears as the limit as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$. More generally,

μ and $\frac{1}{\mu}$ define conjugate CR structures.

Left-Invariant Structures

(Almost) any complex structure on H at a given point is given by

$$\theta^1 = \theta_0^1 + \mu \overline{\theta_0^1}, \quad \mu \neq \pm 1.$$

As μ varies we obtain all the CR structures with the given contact distribution, except for the conjugate of the standard CR structure which appears as the limit as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$. More generally,

μ and $\frac{1}{\mu}$ define conjugate CR structures.

For the pseudo-hermitian coframe

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \theta_0 \\ \theta^1 &= \lambda(\theta_0^1 + \mu \overline{\theta_0^1}) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$|\lambda|^2(1 - |\mu|^2) = 1.$$

we have

$$d\theta^1 = \theta^1 \wedge \left(-2i \left(\frac{1 + |\mu|^2}{1 - |\mu|^2} \right) \right) \theta - \frac{4i\mu}{1 - |\mu|^2} \theta \wedge \overline{\theta^1}.$$

we have

$$d\theta^1 = \theta^1 \wedge \left(-2i \left(\frac{1 + |\mu|^2}{1 - |\mu|^2} \right) \right) \theta - \frac{4i\mu}{1 - |\mu|^2} \theta \wedge \bar{\theta}^1.$$

Webster connection form

$$\omega = -2i \left(\frac{1 + |\mu|^2}{1 - |\mu|^2} \right) \theta_0.$$

Torsion

$$A = -\frac{4i\mu}{1 - |\mu|^2}$$

Curvature

$$R = 2 \left(\frac{1 + |\mu|^2}{1 - |\mu|^2} \right).$$

Let \mathcal{S} denote the set of equivalence classes of left invariant pseudo-hermitian structures corresponding to the standard contact structure.

Let \mathcal{S} denote the set of equivalence classes of left invariant pseudo-hermitian structures corresponding to the standard contact structure.

Theorem

The map

$$\{\mu \in \mathbb{C}, |\mu| < 1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

is surjective with fiber given by $|\mu|$.

Remark

The same result and proof hold for $|\mu| > 1$ and θ replaced by $-\theta$.

Proof

Assume $|\mu| = |\mu'|$.

Proof

Assume $|\mu| = |\mu'|$. Let $F(z, w) = (\zeta z, w) = (\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})$ for $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ and $|\zeta| = 1$.

Proof

Assume $|\mu| = |\mu'|$. Let $F(z, w) = (\zeta z, w) = (\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})$ for $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ and $|\zeta| = 1$. Then

$$F^*(\theta|_{(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})}) = \theta|_{(z, w)}$$

and

$$F^*(\tilde{\theta}^1|_{(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})}) = F^*(\tilde{\lambda}(\theta_0^1 + \tilde{\mu}\overline{\theta_0^1}))$$

Proof

Assume $|\mu| = |\mu'|$. Let $F(z, w) = (\zeta z, w) = (\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})$ for $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ and $|\zeta| = 1$. Then

$$F^*(\theta|_{(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})}) = \theta|_{(z, w)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(\tilde{\theta}^1|_{(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})}) &= F^*(\tilde{\lambda}(\theta_0^1 + \tilde{\mu}\overline{\theta_0^1})) \\ &= \tilde{\lambda}(\zeta(wdz - zdw) + \tilde{\mu}\bar{\zeta}(\bar{w}d\bar{z} - \bar{z}d\bar{w})) \end{aligned}$$

Proof

Assume $|\mu| = |\mu'|$. Let $F(z, w) = (\zeta z, w) = (\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})$ for $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ and $|\zeta| = 1$. Then

$$F^*(\theta|_{(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})}) = \theta|_{(z, w)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(\tilde{\theta}^1|_{(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})}) &= F^*(\tilde{\lambda}(\theta_0^1 + \tilde{\mu}\overline{\theta_0^1})) \\ &= \tilde{\lambda}(\zeta(wdz - zdw) + \tilde{\mu}\bar{\zeta}(\bar{w}d\bar{z} - \bar{z}d\bar{w})) \\ &= k\theta^1|_{(z, w)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof

Assume $|\mu| = |\mu'|$. Let $F(z, w) = (\zeta z, w) = (\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})$ for $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ and $|\zeta| = 1$. Then

$$F^*(\theta|_{(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})}) = \theta|_{(z, w)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(\tilde{\theta}^1|_{(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})}) &= F^*(\tilde{\lambda}(\theta_0^1 + \tilde{\mu}\overline{\theta_0^1})) \\ &= \tilde{\lambda}(\zeta(wdz - zdw) + \tilde{\mu}\bar{\zeta}(\bar{w}d\bar{z} - \bar{z}d\bar{w})) \\ &= k\theta^1|_{(z, w)} \end{aligned}$$

Provided we can find some ζ of norm one such that $\tilde{\mu}\bar{\zeta}/\zeta = \mu$.

Proof

Assume $|\mu| = |\mu'|$. Let $F(z, w) = (\zeta z, w) = (\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})$ for $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ and $|\zeta| = 1$. Then

$$F^*(\theta|_{(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})}) = \theta|_{(z, w)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(\tilde{\theta}^1|_{(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})}) &= F^*(\tilde{\lambda}(\theta_0^1 + \tilde{\mu}\overline{\theta_0^1})) \\ &= \tilde{\lambda}(\zeta(wdz - zdw) + \tilde{\mu}\bar{\zeta}(\overline{w}d\overline{z} - \overline{z}d\overline{w})) \\ &= k\theta^1|_{(z, w)} \end{aligned}$$

Provided we can find some ζ of norm one such that $\tilde{\mu}\bar{\zeta}/\zeta = \mu$.
 That is, provided

$$|\tilde{\mu}| = |\mu|.$$

Remark When $\mu = \tilde{\mu}$, we can take $\zeta = \pm 1$ and we have two CR diffeomorphisms leaving $(0, 1)$ fixed.

Remark When $\mu = \tilde{\mu}$, we can take $\zeta = \pm 1$ and we have two CR diffeomorphisms leaving $(0, 1)$ fixed.

This illustrates a general theorem:

Remark When $\mu = \tilde{\mu}$, we can take $\zeta = \pm 1$ and we have two CR diffeomorphisms leaving $(0, 1)$ fixed.

This illustrates a general theorem:

A spc CR structure on M^3 with nonzero “CR curvature” admits at most two CR diffeomorphisms leaving a given point fixed.

Remark When $\mu = \tilde{\mu}$, we can take $\zeta = \pm 1$ and we have two CR diffeomorphisms leaving $(0, 1)$ fixed.

This illustrates a general theorem:

A spc CR structure on M^3 with nonzero “CR curvature” admits at most two CR diffeomorphisms leaving a given point fixed.

If $\mu = 0$ the dimension of the isotropy group of a point is 5.

Conversely, we start with a pseudo-hermitian diffeomorphism F and show that $|\mu| = |\tilde{\mu}|$.

Conversely, we start with a pseudo-hermitian diffeomorphism F and show that $|\mu| = |\tilde{\mu}|$. We use

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^1 &= \theta^1 \wedge \omega + A\theta \wedge \overline{\theta^1} \\ d\tilde{\theta}^1 &= \tilde{\theta}^1 \wedge \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{A}\tilde{\theta} \wedge \overline{\tilde{\theta}^1} \end{aligned}$$

together with $F^*(\tilde{\theta}^1) = \alpha\theta^1$ to derive

Conversely, we start with a pseudo-hermitian diffeomorphism F and show that $|\mu| = |\tilde{\mu}|$. We use

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^1 &= \theta^1 \wedge \omega + A\theta \wedge \overline{\theta^1} \\ d\tilde{\theta}^1 &= \tilde{\theta}^1 \wedge \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{A}\tilde{\theta} \wedge \overline{\tilde{\theta}^1} \end{aligned}$$

together with $F^*(\tilde{\theta}^1) = \alpha\theta^1$ to derive

$$(d\alpha) \wedge \theta^1 + \alpha(\theta^1 \wedge \omega + A\theta \wedge \overline{\theta^1}) = \alpha\theta^1 \wedge \tilde{\omega} + \bar{\alpha}\tilde{A}\tilde{\theta} \wedge \overline{\tilde{\theta}^1}.$$

Conversely, we start with a pseudo-hermitian diffeomorphism F and show that $|\mu| = |\tilde{\mu}|$. We use

$$\begin{aligned}d\theta^1 &= \theta^1 \wedge \omega + A\theta \wedge \overline{\theta^1} \\d\tilde{\theta}^1 &= \tilde{\theta}^1 \wedge \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{A}\tilde{\theta} \wedge \overline{\tilde{\theta}^1}\end{aligned}$$

together with $F^*(\tilde{\theta}^1) = \alpha\theta^1$ to derive

$$(d\alpha) \wedge \theta^1 + \alpha(\theta^1 \wedge \omega + A\theta \wedge \overline{\theta^1}) = \alpha\theta^1 \wedge \tilde{\omega} + \bar{\alpha}\tilde{A}\tilde{\theta} \wedge \overline{\tilde{\theta}^1}.$$

Wedge this with θ^1 and obtain

$$\alpha A = \bar{\alpha}\tilde{A}.$$

Conversely, we start with a pseudo-hermitian diffeomorphism F and show that $|\mu| = |\tilde{\mu}|$. We use

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^1 &= \theta^1 \wedge \omega + A\theta \wedge \overline{\theta^1} \\ d\tilde{\theta}^1 &= \tilde{\theta}^1 \wedge \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{A}\theta \wedge \tilde{\theta}^1 \end{aligned}$$

together with $F^*(\tilde{\theta}^1) = \alpha\theta^1$ to derive

$$(d\alpha) \wedge \theta^1 + \alpha(\theta^1 \wedge \omega + A\theta \wedge \overline{\theta^1}) = \alpha\theta^1 \wedge \tilde{\omega} + \bar{\alpha}\tilde{A}\theta \wedge \overline{\theta^1}.$$

Wedge this with θ^1 and obtain

$$\alpha A = \bar{\alpha}\tilde{A}.$$

Use

$$A = -\frac{4i\mu}{1 - |\mu|^2}$$

to conclude that $|\mu| = |\tilde{\mu}|$.

CR structural equations

Let ϕ and ϕ_1 be one-forms with ϕ real giving the CR structure:

CR structural equations

Let ϕ and ϕ_1 be one-forms with ϕ real giving the CR structure:

- 1 $\phi^\perp = H,$
- 2 $J\phi_1 = i\phi_1,$
- 3 $d\phi = i\phi_1\overline{\phi_1}.$

CR structural equations

Let ϕ and ϕ_1 be one-forms with ϕ real giving the CR structure:

- ① $\phi^\perp = H,$
- ② $J\phi_1 = i\phi_1,$
- ③ $d\phi = i\phi_1\overline{\phi_1}.$

Theorem

There exist unique one-forms ϕ_2, ϕ_3, ϕ_4 and unique functions $R(x)$ and $S(x)$ such that

- ① ϕ_2 is imaginary and ϕ_4 is real,
- ② $d\phi_1 = -\phi_1\phi_2 - \phi\phi_3,$
- ③ $d\phi_2 = 2i\phi_1\overline{\phi_3} + i\overline{\phi_1}\phi_3 - \phi\phi_4,$
- ④ $d\phi_3 = -\phi_1\phi_4 - \overline{\phi_2}\phi_3 - R\phi\overline{\phi_1},$
- ⑤ $d\phi_4 = i\phi_3\overline{\phi_3} + (S\phi_1 + \overline{S\phi_1})\phi.$

If we replace ϕ by $\psi = |\nu|^2\phi$ and ϕ_1 by $\psi_1 = \nu\phi_1$ with a constant ν

If we replace ϕ by $\psi = |\nu|^2\phi$ and ϕ_1 by $\psi_1 = \nu\phi_1$ with a constant ν then the forms

$$\psi_2 = \phi_2, \quad \psi_3 = \frac{1}{\bar{\nu}}\phi_3, \quad \psi_4 = \frac{1}{|\nu|^2}\phi_4$$

satisfy the equations in the Theorem with R and S replaced by

$$R = \frac{R}{|\nu|^2\nu^2} \text{ and } S = \frac{S}{|\nu|^2\nu}.$$

If we replace ϕ by $\psi = |\nu|^2\phi$ and ϕ_1 by $\psi_1 = \nu\phi_1$ with a constant ν then the forms

$$\psi_2 = \phi_2, \quad \psi_3 = \frac{1}{\bar{\nu}}\phi_3, \quad \psi_4 = \frac{1}{|\nu|^2}\phi_4$$

satisfy the equations in the Theorem with R and S replaced by

$$R = \frac{R}{|\nu|^2\nu^2} \text{ and } S = \frac{S}{|\nu|^2\nu}.$$

R and S are relative invariants.

$R(p) \neq 0$ implies that (M, H, J) is nonumbilic at p .

Corollary

A left invariant CR structure on S^3 with $\mu \neq 0$ has no umbilic points.

We want to choose a multiple of ϕ and a corresponding multiple of ϕ_1 so that $R(x) \equiv 1$.

We want to choose a multiple of ϕ and a corresponding multiple of ϕ_1 so that $R(x) \equiv 1$.

Corollary

If $R(p) \neq 0$, there are precisely two choices of (ϕ, ϕ_1) such that in a neighborhood of p

- 1 (ϕ, ϕ_1) give the CR structure,
- 2 $d\phi = i\phi_1 \overline{\phi_1}$, and
- 3 $R \equiv 1$.

We want to choose a multiple of ϕ and a corresponding multiple of ϕ_1 so that $R(x) \equiv 1$.

Corollary

If $R(p) \neq 0$, there are precisely two choices of (ϕ, ϕ_1) such that in a neighborhood of p

- 1 (ϕ, ϕ_1) give the CR structure,
- 2 $d\phi = i\phi_1 \overline{\phi_1}$, and
- 3 $R \equiv 1$.

If we denote one choice by (ω, ω_1) , then the other choice is $(\omega, -\omega_1)$.

We want to choose a multiple of ϕ and a corresponding multiple of ϕ_1 so that $R(x) \equiv 1$.

Corollary

If $R(p) \neq 0$, there are precisely two choices of (ϕ, ϕ_1) such that in a neighborhood of p

- 1 (ϕ, ϕ_1) give the CR structure,
- 2 $d\phi = i\phi_1 \overline{\phi_1}$, and
- 3 $R \equiv 1$.

If we denote one choice by (ω, ω_1) , then the other choice is $(\omega, -\omega_1)$.

We set $\phi = \omega$ and $\phi_1 = \omega_1$ and apply the theorem to obtain ϕ_2 , ϕ_3 , and ϕ_4 .

We want to choose a multiple of ϕ and a corresponding multiple of ϕ_1 so that $R(x) \equiv 1$.

Corollary

If $R(p) \neq 0$, there are precisely two choices of (ϕ, ϕ_1) such that in a neighborhood of p

- ① (ϕ, ϕ_1) give the CR structure,
- ② $d\phi = i\phi_1 \overline{\phi_1}$, and
- ③ $R \equiv 1$.

If we denote one choice by (ω, ω_1) , then the other choice is $(\omega, -\omega_1)$.

We set $\phi = \omega$ and $\phi_1 = \omega_1$ and apply the theorem to obtain ϕ_2, ϕ_3 , and ϕ_4 . If instead we had set $\phi' = \omega$ and $\phi'_1 = -\omega_1$, we would have obtained

$$\phi'_2 = \phi_2, \quad \phi'_3 = -\phi_3, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'_4 = \phi_4.$$

Theorem

If F is a CR diffeomorphism between left-invariant CR structures characterized by μ and $\tilde{\mu}$ and with the standard contact distribution then either $|\mu| = |\tilde{\mu}|$ or $|\mu| = 1/|\tilde{\mu}|$.